



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,690	12/17/2003	Javier B. Arellano	P24306	4131
7055 7590 05/14/2008 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				
EXAMINER PARKER, BRANDON				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2174				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/14/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com

pto@gbpatent.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/736,690

Applicant(s)

ARELLANO ET AL.

Examiner

BRANDON PARKER

Art Unit

2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 February 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner acknowledges the applicant's remarks on 02/01/2008 wherein claims 1-5 and 13-17 remain pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber et al (US Patent 5,564,005 hereinafter, "Weber") in view of Kumar et al (US Patent 6,448,980 hereinafter, "Kumar").

- Weber teaches a method for dynamically creating and delivering interactive personalized content in an electronic environment, comprising: (correlates to user information/personalized Abstract lines 1-7), (storage medium/computer readable medium storing, Weber Claim 1), (computer program/code for executing Col. 9 lines 43-46).
- providing a narrative framework (time zone, Abstract); sequencing and editing the narrative framework, based upon a profile of a user (i.e. usage patterns, Col. 27 lines 28-32), to create a dynamically generated narrative (Abstract, Col 9 line 48);

- modifying (i.e. manipulating) the dynamically generated narrative (i.e. display objects), (Col. 27 lines 50-53) based upon a delivery context (Col. 16 lines 49-58); and rendering the modified narrative for presentation of a user (Weber Claim 11, Abstract);
- Weber does not explicitly show providing a narrative framework which comprises content elements, each content element comprising a plurality of types of representations having different media characteristics, and the content elements facilitating modification based upon a delivery content but does provides a system comprised of a time zone (i.e. dynamically generated narrative) into which the system user may enter information.
- Kumar discloses personalizing rich media presentations based on user response to the presentation (Abstract, title). Furthermore, Kumar discloses delivering personalized media presentations and a delivery logic rendering logic for dynamically changing (i.e. modifying) the rendered presentations and hypermedia descriptions based on said one of the selection events (Kumar Claim 14). Note: Kumar describes the media presentations as images, animated images, video images and audio (i.e. a plurality of types of representations having different media characteristics), (Col. 1 lines 20-35)
- It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the modified media types as taught by Kumar with the narrative

Art Unit: 2174

framework system of Weber to efficiently and effectively customize and personalize distributed media.

Claim 13 is similar in scope to claim 1 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

With respect to claim 2

- Weber teaches a method comprising updating (i.e. modifications to) the user profile based on a user interaction history (i.e. recalling memories). (Col 4 lines 40-44).

Claim 14 is similar in scope to claim 2 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

With respect to claim 3

- Weber teaches a method in which the user profile is created by gathering data from the user, analyzing a history of the user, monitoring data related to the user, and detecting patterns and trends of the user. (recalling memories/history, pattern, trends Col 4 40-44, compare/analyzing, determining/detecting Col 9 lines 29-32).

Claim 15 is similar in scope to claim 3 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

With respect to claim 4

- Weber teaches a method in which the delivery context comprises a display area (Col 15 lines 8-11).

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 16 is similar in scope to claim 4 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

With respect to claim 5

- Weber teaches a method in which the delivery context comprises a network connection (Col 15 lines 50-56).

Claim 17 is similar in scope to claim 5 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

With respect to claim 6

- Weber teaches a method in which the narrative framework further comprises content elements, each content element comprising a plurality of types of representations having different media characteristics, facilitating modification based upon delivery context (manipulation/modification, pixels, symbols, numbers/media characteristics Col 9 19-26).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/01/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 13-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 6201948 discloses an agent based instruction system and method.

US Patent 6166732 discloses a distributed object oriented multi-user domain with multimedia presentations,

US Patent 6055542 discloses a system and method for displaying the contents of a web page based on a user's interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDON PARKER whose telephone number is (571)270-1302. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRANDON PARKER/
Examiner, Art Unit 2174
05/07/2008

/David A Wiley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2174